

# Identity



Magazine of the British National Party

August 2008 • Issue 93 • £2.40

## *This Month*

**The answers to a  
Malthusian future**

**Surviving the coming  
age of scarcity**

## *Spiritual Nationalism*

**Phil Reddal discusses Western spirituality  
and its place in Nationalism**

# News

## Teacher Suspended For Punishing Boys Who Refused To Pray To Allah

At the beginning of last month it was revealed that a teacher at Alsager High School, near Stoke-on-Trent, had punished three Christian schoolboys with detention because they had refused to kneel in class and pray to Allah. As a result of protests, Cheshire County Council on behalf of the school issued the statement: "Educating children in the beliefs of different faith is part of the diversity curriculum on the basis that knowledge is essential to understanding."

Protests continued - not least from the BNP - and as a result the Council then decided to suspend the teacher. It has been pointed out that if these children have actually recited a particular Arabic sentence known as the Shahadaa, then according to soon-to-be-introduced Sharia law they have converted to Islam. In this case the teacher involved, Alison Phillips, should be sacked.



## Oil Price Rise "Not Predicted" Says Harriet Harman

When interviewed by Melissa Kite after Labour was beaten into 5<sup>th</sup> place by the BNP at the Henley by-election, Harriet Harman, Labour's deputy leader, denied that she had alienated white working class men with her Equality Bill, which encourages employers to discriminate in favour of ethnic minorities and women. She then added these gems:

"I don't think people predicted the oil price rise", and "I certainly don't think anybody predicted that the credit crunch coming from America would happen in exactly the way it did."

She should take out a sub to *Identity* or *Freedom*. Four years ago BNP writers first warned of the coming rise in oil costs. The origins of the credit crunch were dealt with two years ago.



## BBC Accused of Racism

It may be difficult to believe but last month the BBC was twice accused of being racist.

The first accusation came from Samir Shah, a non-executive BBC director who said that those of ethnic minority backgrounds were still excluded from the industry's so-called "power elite". He bemoaned the fact that "the upper reaches of our industry... are still controlled by a metropolitan, largely liberal, white, middle-class, cultural elite." Some would say that the problem here is the "largely liberal elite."

A charge of racism was then made by the BBC Black and Asian Forum (it does not have a White and European Forum) following a statement on Radio 4's *Today* programme that Africans suffered from an endemic "moral deficit". His observation came from Clifford Longley, the writer, who said in the *Thought for the Day* slot that African culture had always lacked "a developed sense of common humanity", which explained "Africa's propensity to turn to massacre and genocide". He added that a Nigerian theologian had said to him that Africans suffered from the deficit.

## Chinese Criminals Cannot be Deported

A prosecution of a Chinese immigrant for involvement with fake DVDs in London last month revealed that tens of thousands of illegal Chinese immigrants cannot be deported for crimes committed here. China refuses to take them back, disputes their identity and nationality and refuses to accept that they are Chinese.

## SNP's Muslim Candidates

With the focus on the so-called Scottish Nationalist Party in the by-election in Glasgow East, it should be noted that in

nearby Glasgow Central the SNP candidate is Osama Saeed. One of several SNP Muslim candidates, Mr Saeed is now the adviser on Islamic matters for Alex Salmond, the First Minister. "Scotland can be the hub for the Muslim world" he says. At various times, Mr Saeed has told Western countries that they must change their foreign policy to avoid being blown up, praised suicide bombing and called on Scottish Muslims to act "in defiance" of police inquiries about terrorism. Source: Charles Moore, *Daily Telegraph*, 05.07.08.

## Is Your Toddler Racist?

The National Children's Bureau, which receives £12 million government funds annually, has warned nursery teachers and play leaders that toddlers who turn their noses up at spicy food from overseas could be branded racists. Its 366-page guidance called *Young Children and Racial Justice* says that the charge of racism could be directed at a child as young as three who says "yuk" in response to being served unfamiliar foreign food.

## MBE For Mona McNee

An MBE was awarded to Mrs Mona McNee, Teacher of Reading and Writing, for voluntary services to Education in the Queen's Birthday Honours. Her book *The Great Reading Disaster*, which she co-authored with Alice Coleman, was reviewed in the June issue of *Identity*.

Mona McNee has also spoken on the need for a return to the basic phonic method of reading at several political party meetings, including a number of those of the BNP in the North West of England, where she has become a firm favourite.

**identity**

Editor: John Bean

Design: Mark Collett

August 2008

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:

PO Box 17, Amlwch,

LL68 8AB

Tel: 0871 050 0238

subscriptions@bnp.org.uk

www.identitymagazine.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the

addresses below in

typed/electronic format:

PO Box 97, Newmarket,

Suffolk CB8 1WT.

Email: identity@bnp.org.uk



# Irish Shock Waves Expose the EU



The courageous decision of the Irish people in decisively rejecting the EU Lisbon Treaty raises a nostalgic yearning that the British community of nations could once again include a United Ireland. But that's another story: perhaps to be explored in the future.

To return to the immediate, it is opportune to remind readers that the BNP leads the fight against Britain's membership of the EU (now that UKIP has failed to do so and is funded considerably by the EU itself), not because we are "anti-European" but because we support all those who are fighting to preserve the individual nations which created the general culture of Europe. Thus we respect the efforts of the independent businessman Stuart Wheeler who went to the High Court to secure a referendum on our continued membership (as promised in the Labour Party's last election manifesto). In a two to one split decision the Law Lords, echoing Establishment opinion, gave the risible excuse for rejecting Mr Wheeler's application that "as the Lisbon Treaty is materially different from the (earlier rejected) Constitution, the Government, therefore, is considered within its rights to break its promise to hold a referendum".

The scorn and outright abuse that was levelled at the Irish people for seeing that the Lisbon Treaty was in fact the original Constitution in a new frock, would suggest that it was something out of *Pravda*.

*"They (the Irish) are bloody fools. They have been stuffing their faces at Europe's expense for years and now they dump us in the s\*\*\*."*  
Nicolas Sarkozy, French President.

*"The Treaty will be applied, albeit a few months late."* Lopez Garrido, Spanish Europe Minister.

*"The Treaty is not dead. The Treaty is alive, and we will work to find a solution."* Jose Barroso, European Commission President.

*"We think it is a real cheek that the country that has benefited most from the EU should do this. There is no other Europe than this treaty."*  
Axel Schafer, SPD leader in the German Bundestag.

The most dictatorial comments came from Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, former French president who was the architect of the European Union, who has made it clear that all future referendums will be ignored. He drafted the old constitution that was rejected by French and Dutch voters three years ago before resurfacing as the Lisbon Treaty. Yet in an interview with the *Irish Times* he admitted that, unlike his original constitutional treaty, the Lisbon Treaty had been carefully crafted to confuse the public.

"What was done in the (Lisbon) Treaty, and deliberately, was to mix everything up. If you look for the passages on institutions, they're in different places, on different pages," he said. "Someone who wanted to understand how the thing worked could with the constitutional treaty, but not with this one." Earlier, perhaps thinking of

Hitler's concept of a United Europe, I described him as "dictatorial". Machiavellian would be more apt.

Fortunately all is not lost in the fight against the EU straightjacket. It is not a sudden awakening to its threat by the Conservatives - "we must stop banging on about Europe" said Dave - but the strong opposition that is coming from countries such as Poland and the Czech Republic, who know what it is like to live under a Marxist dictatorship.

Poland's President, Lech Kaczynski, says that it would be "pointless" to sign up to the Lisbon Treaty following the Irish rejection. Czech President Vaclav Klaus called the Irish vote "the victory of freedom and reason over artificial elitist projects and European bureaucracy."

## BNP Leads the Opposition

The Lib-Dems are, of course, fully supportive of the UK's submergence within a single European state. Various Labour and Tory spokesmen make noises about "looking after British interests" within the EU. But it's all a farce to keep doubtful voters on side to ensure their party's representation in a Parliament that the EU, which makes 70 per cent of the laws now in practice in Britain, is about to make redundant. As far as Cameron is concerned the reality is that he has recently let it be known that his anti-EU MPs can say their little piece but will no longer be allowed to speak out in the party name.

With UKIP slowly fading into obscurity and the Greens indicating that the EU would probably get their support if a windmill was promised to every UK community, it leaves the BNP to lead the fight for British sovereignty. There is also the little pecuniary matter that our membership is now costing over £50 billion net a year, or £843 for every man, woman and child in Britain.

Even if this enormous cost to every taxpayer does not overcome the boredom that many voters feel over the issue of whether or not to remain in the EU, let the BNP election teams also stress the adverse effect the EU is having on immigration. Its Human Rights legislation frequently prevents our courts from even deporting foreign criminals, let alone being the major hurdle for deporting illegal immigrants.

Furthermore, the EU has stated that it wants to relax controls and open the borders to an extra 20 million workers from Asia and Africa over the next two decades. Under its proposals, once migrants had been in an EU state for five consecutive years they would be free to travel where they wished. And as long as Lib-Lab-Con is in control here we know where most would wish to come.

John Bean

"When you find yourself in a hole, stop digging." This, the First Law of Holes, applies to ruling elites as much as it does to individuals. The problems of financial contagion, banksterism and Peak Oil are caused by economic globalisation, market deregulation and the resource-guzzling short-termism of unfettered capitalism.

For Brown, Cameron and the rest of the Westminster crew to pretend that "the economic fundamentals" of this rotten system "are still essentially sound", is to doom us all to even worse times, for the inevitable result of such a claim is the decision to carry on along the same path, addicted to internationalism, debt and oil.

The answer to the crash of internationalism is a crash course in the application of nationalist policies. Since relying on foreigners has proven so dangerous, we must learn to be more self-sufficient. Relying on the smoke and mirrors of the financial sector has led to disaster, so we must reorder our economy so that finance serves productive industry, instead of the other way around. Since short-term consumerism has become a world-gobbling menace, the factories of the British industrial renaissance must build things to last, replacing built-in obsolescence with long-lasting sturdiness and near total recyclability at the eventual end of a product's life.

We need a concerted drive to become world leaders in key post-oil technologies. Germany is already doing this with solar power producing 14% of domestic energy, mainly thanks to a far-sighted policy allowing small-scale single-home generators to run their surplus electricity into the national grid and be well-paid for it.

Britain should leap-frog advanced nations like Germany, copying their state-of-the-art technologies once they've done much of the early R&D work (as Japan did to us and the USA), and look for fields where we have our own in-built natural advantages, such as exploiting deep wave power.

It is not just a matter of developing replacements for oil and natural gas. A century of dirt cheap carbon energy has led to criminal inefficiencies in transport, building and manufacturing. The well-researched Pentagon-backed study, *Winning the Oil Endgame*, shows the fantastic potential for lightweight vehicles and planes, and for other technologies that save massive amounts of energy.

Such efficiencies in the amount of power we need are easier to achieve than changing the sources of that power. Even better, most of the already proven technologies involved can be used both with oil and post-oil power sources, thereby allowing very rapid wide scale implementation.

Our under-funded university research departments still produce a vastly

disproportionate number of the world's technological breakthroughs. Properly developed, these would give us the cutting edge technology needed to meet the urgent need to radically redesign nearly every energy-using machine on the planet. Reversing decades of systematic neglect and deliberate destruction of our hard and high-tech industries would produce the wealth, national confidence and genuine community cohesion needed to right many of the social ills at present tearing our society apart.

Yet we must be realistic; the BNP will not be running the country any time soon. So with there being no chance of any other party introducing the nationalist policies which are the only antidote to the poison of debt-financed globalism, there is no way out of the gathering economic crisis.

The long political battle to oust the failed old order will be played out against an intrusive background of individual, family and community struggles simply to survive.


We may not be reduced to eating the

repulsive things that sustained our ancestors during hard times; our society may not crumble to the level of murderous anarchy that prevailed in central Europe during, for example, the Thirty Years War. But memories of the "good old days" - £1 holiday flights, a free health service that worked, unarmed police maintaining order simply by strolling along quiet streets, food and essential services so reliable and cheap that you took them for granted - will make the hard times of the Age of Scarcity all the harder.

So on top of actual physical challenges to survival - when, for example, a cold snap forces thousands more pensioners to choose between slow starvation and hypothermia - millions of people who are not as low down the pile as to face such genuine life and death dilemmas are likely to suffer from acute failure of morale.

Suicide, alcoholism, mental illness, withdrawal into a lonely and powerless personal shell, or falling victim to the crimes endemic in a failing society, are more likely to destroy or incapacitate

» While most Establishment commentators were preaching the globalist gospel of perpetual growth, Nick Griffin's articles in *Identity* predicted both the Credit Crunch and the impact of the End of Easy Oil. Having been proven right on both counts, this month he gets practical. How would a nationalist government get to grips with the problems? And what can individuals, communities and the British National Party do to survive and prosper as the failure of the old parties sucks Britain into a downward spiral of poverty, insecurity and despair?



# Surviving the Age of Scarcity

people than some drastic failure of the food supply chain or mass breakdown of law and order.

The remainder of this article, therefore, will examine some of the practical things that individuals can do to minimise their exposure to the problems and stresses of what within a year will be widely described as the Second Great Depression. And we will also examine the things the BNP itself has in hand as we batten down the hatches for the economic storms ahead.

### SORT OUT YOUR FINANCES

Just over a year ago a friend of mine suggested that the BNP should invest in the Buy to Let property market in which he was making a paper fortune. I declined, countering with the advice that he should get out while the going was good, foregoing any remaining theoretical profits to be had in the last days of the boom by selling up and converting his actual profits into cash.

He told me ruefully last month that he still had my final text warning on the to sell

matter, and that if he could go back to the day in July 2007 when I sent it he'd sell everything and be a very wealthy man. As it is, lacking a time machine, he is now drowning in a sea of debt. So advice to sell property is now too late, because it's already effectively unsaleable.

There are, however, exceptions - areas of particularly high risk in which it would be worth selling provided even a small amount of capital can be salvaged. Suburban houses a long way from reliable and frequent public transport offer neither the low-transport cost living of towns and city centres, nor the relative safety and potential for limited self-sufficiency of rural areas. Suburban areas with an unusually high proportion of residents from a violence-prone ethnic minority are doubly cursed. Sell up and get out! Wherever you live, if you don't own your own house, don't buy one.

Start saving the money or even scavenging materials to insulate your home - and wear a jumper rather than turning up the heating. Look for ways in which part of your job could be done from home, saving on transport costs. Sub-let a room. Use the money to pay off debts.

Keep a list of every penny you spend over a month, then set a target of how much you're going to save each month. Join Internet and local community swapping groups, such as Freecycle.

If you have credit card debts, take a long, hard look at your finances. If you have other things to lose (particularly a house in which you have significant equity) then cut them up, never buy on credit again, and scrape to clear the balance off as quickly as possible. There's no point trying to save for anything else while you are paying such obscene interest on what you owe these institutional sharks.

If, on the other hand, you have nothing to lose, but still owe more on credit cards than you can ever realistically repay, you have a different option: Bankruptcy and an automatic discharge clean slate, generally in just one year.

Undischarged bankrupts cannot stand in elections. But the best way to win a seat on your local council is to spend at least a year working as a non-political community activist before you 'come out' as BNP, and only then get political, so you've got time to get the banksters off your back if you act quickly.

Many individuals who make the decision to file for bankruptcy go on one last credit card fling before they do so. The banks, credit card companies and giant shop chains deserve every such default they get, but on no account should anyone rip off small tradesmen, family businesses or John Lewis/Waitrose workers' co-ops.

Before any firm decision, however, you should join and seek the advice of the Bankruptcy Association. Run by a team of highly sympathetic volunteers, it will ch a

provide you with all the help and moral support you need. Bankruptcy does make a horrible mess of your credit rating, but is that such a bad thing? Credit = Debt = Slavery, that's the clearest way to look at it. Further, having the crushing burden of an unrepayable debt lifted from your shoulders is an enormous relief, capable of renewing your life.

### GET PROPERLY EQUIPPED

If, having weighed it all up, you decide that bankruptcy is the only way to free yourself from debt, and having taken measures to secure any possessions which would be at risk, approach your final buying spree sensibly. This is not primarily an exercise in revenge against the usurers, but in acquiring for you and your family various things which can make your life more convenient and safer through the next few years as our society is hit by a convergence of catastrophes. If not going bankrupt, save to buy these things:

A small **generator**, a couple of **jerry cans** and fill 'em up. There's no point having a freezer full of food if it's all going to defrost and rot the first time you suffer a prolonged black-out. You can't afford to run lights on such a petrol-guzzler though, so you'll also need a couple of **wind-up dynamo Tilley lamps**.

Good quality, sturdy, **bikes** - cycling to work, or even to a leafleting session, will deny the Chancellor his fuel tax and the Saudis the money to build more giant radical mosques in our country. It will also help you get fit, which will improve your entire life.

The best quality **sleeping bags, tent and portable cooking equipment** you can find. If you live anywhere near water you'll also find very sturdy inflatable rafts and kayaks going for a song on eBay in another month or so. Carefully stored and ready to use at a moment's notice, such equipment gives you the option of a cheap, healthy holiday as well as the capacity to move elsewhere without becoming pathetic and highly vulnerable refugees if anything really serious happens to make your neighbourhood a place which you have to leave in a hurry. A brief Internet study of what happened in New York when the lights went out may be an eye-opener, especially if you live somewhere which has been heavily 'enriched'.

Buy, and - learn to use, a **legal hunting weapon**. Shotgun licences are still not too hard to come by, as long as you have no violent criminal record, and join a club or have someone somewhere in the country who has a couple of acres on which you get permission to shoot. A good air rifle with telescopic sights will knock over almost anything you might wish to eat, or keep vermin off the vegetables we'll consider shortly. Despite being essentially a one-shot wonder, a crossbow may also be worth

considering, although nationalists will find more kindred spirits in any of the groups practicing archery, especially with the English warbow.

### GROW YOUR OWN

Buy a good book on practical self-sufficiency. This starts with growing lettuce in a window box and making your own beer, goes through converting your back garden into a vegetable plot, and can take you on to downsizing to a new life on a country small-holding. The trick is to start small and only get more ambitious as you develop the skills to cope.

As with so much of this advice, it's not so much a matter of life and death as a question of attitude and morale. A suburban lawn converted into four blocks for rotating basic vegetable crops won't stop you starving, but it will increase your real standard of living (though you might have to wait until you taste your first new potatoes, straight from earth to plate in about a quarter of an hour, before you really grasp what I mean), make you healthier and cut your food bills.

Perhaps most important of all, it will put you more closely in touch with the soil of your native land than probably any member of your family for several generations. And, if you either become, or help elect, a BNP parish or town councillor, you will be able to use the little known power they have to requisition land to supply a demand for allotments, and become a leading figure in a proper community initiative to make your little part of Britain a little more self-sufficient.

I was speaking just last week with one of our activists who already does all that, but who is looking to take another step forward by keeping chickens. In the last few months this has become fashionable, and the prices of fancy breeds have gone through the roof. Don't bother with them - especially to start with when your lack of experience will put them at risk from urban foxes and other perils.

You can get a good start with rescued battery hens. These are cheap if not free, and their high initial mortality will stop anyone getting attached to them before they learn that they are there to live for a relatively short-term, to be culled when no longer productive, or if sick (if you call in the vet for every chicken as it gets old your 'free' eggs will cost you a fortune) elderly chickens are there to be turned into cock-a-leekie soup. Don't be squeamish - they'd eat you if they could!

This will help you develop a caring toughness, safe in the knowledge that, whatever happens, with room to move, some grass and a variety of tasty scraps, your rescued birds will think they've gone to heaven, which will make up a little for the cruelty you've inflicted in years of thoughtless consumption of 'cheap',

tasteless, agri-business eggs.

### JOIN THE UNION

As the Crunch bites, companies desperate to shed staff will look for excuses to get rid of people without redundancy pay-outs. So you need to be in a union, and one that will fight for your rights even though you're in the BNP. The only one that fits the bill is Solidarity, which has now grown to more than 250 members and has already developed a team of experienced union reps who regularly and successfully stand up for their members at grievance and disciplinary hearings, and to secure rights such as holidays or sick pay.

There is no point at paying the much higher dues of the other unions, all of which will drop you like a hot brick the minute they find you're in the BNP. Being in a union is like paying an insurance premium, but Solidarity is the only union that 'pays out' to people like us. You can contact Solidarity, the nationalist workers' union, on 07794 486 858.

### BUILD YOUR COMMUNITY

As with individuals, so too more communities die in hard times because they give up than through actual physical destruction. The way in which an unfixed broken window can act as the trigger for a spiral of decline which turns a poor but decent area into a crime-ridden sink estate is well-known. Conversely, there is every reason to suppose that a small positive gesture - running a Helping Hand initiative for local pensioners, organising a communal meal once a month, setting up a Community Observation Patrol - can trigger a virtuous cycle of neighbourliness and co-operation.

The old working class tradition of local self-help movements, such as the retail co-op and the Buffs, was killed off by the creation of the Welfare State. The destruction of the welfare safety net by the privatisation of public services and shrinking tax receipts will inevitably reproduce the need for communities to help themselves. We must experiment with every

possible tactic in this field, and then roll out those that work the length and breadth of the country.

### LOCALISE OUR ORGANISATION

As transport becomes more expensive and people have less disposable income, we will only survive if we localise our own organisation. Take a simple leafleting session as an example. A decade ago all BNP leaflets were printed in the same place and then shipped around the country. Nowadays an increasingly large proportion are printed locally, generally on the digital duplicators owned by about thirty of our most effective local units. Centrally, our Publicity Department produces a monthly template leaflet, so that units without the capacity to produce well-designed and topical leaflets off their own backs can adapt the template for local use.

This combination of central design and content guidance with local production makes these leaflets far more topical and effective than the old national ones, as well as saving on soaring distribution costs. This latter factor is also weighing on our current deliberations about the future of our newspaper *Freedom*.

Produced monthly, this has a print run of around 20,000, with a consequently high cost per copy and relatively low return on the huge amount of work needed to produce and ship it. In August/September we will be experimenting with a different approach - printing at least five times as many, with economies of scale slashing the cost per copy. Subscribers please note, our records will be amended to provide an extra issue to make up for the non-appearance of the *VoF* this month.

The longer time for production will enable Martin Wingfield to take longer collecting the information and photos for a much more positive showcasing of the party, and to take advantage of help from marketing professionals. If this experiment produces the better response from the public that we hope for, we would increase the print run even further, giving us a paper at a price that can either be given away en masse, or sold at a far higher profit margin.





This would allow individual activists hurt financially by the deepening recession to supplement their income by the large-scale sale of *Freedom*.

Rocketing fuel costs will also increase the cost of outside speakers for local meetings, at the very time that average collections are likely to drop. We should make better use of our monthly DVD magazine, FSID. This provides a free but professional focus for even quite large meetings - all that's needed is a cheap projector to link to a laptop computer, a screen and a small set of speakers.

This has the added advantage of being infinitely expandable, unlike our supply of real life speakers, which cannot possibly keep up with the huge potential future demand as the party's organisation grows.

Finally, as well as looking for ways to save money, we need to find ways to bring in extra funds, particularly from the public rather than the same hardcore donors. In any recession people stop buying things new from shops and spend more time looking for bargains - car boot sales and ebay are the obvious places these days.

This opens up the opportunity for a big branch or a number of smaller groups to collect unwanted items from members and regular paper buyers and have a couple of volunteers sell them at car-boot events or online. The high price of scrap metal and salvage paper also opens up a gap for collections to make a worthwhile contribution to funds.

#### IMPROVE THE CONSTITUTION

The coming Depression and the political opportunities it will open up will at some

stage in the future produce a tipping point at which our support explodes. A five- or six-fold increase in membership over a matter of months is not a fantasy but an inevitability. We cannot tell when it will happen, but we can predict the special danger that such rapid growth will bring: The swamping of the real nationalist hardcore by a flood of wishy-washy, plastic Union Jack waving civic nationalists. We need them, but we don't want them trying to take over the party and dilute its core values, a natural tendency sure to be manipulated by opposition and State agents provocateurs.

To avoid that danger, we need to continue the constitutional improvements of recent years, so as to enable us to take on talented and opinionated 'refugees' from other parties and give us enough time to educate and integrate them before they are able to influence the future of the BNP.

The next stage of this essential defensive process is to embed permanently within the constitution the shifting of the power to change or adopt key policies from the leader to the Voting Members. At the same time, the proposals being put before the EGM at the end of the Red-White-and-Blue will include a simple system giving a small group drawn from the Voting Membership a supervisory review role over paid party staff. As well as keeping the latter on their toes, this will help to protect the staff from accusations by would-be trouble-makers that "so-and-so is incompetent and only got the job as a crony" - something which is bad enough at the best of times, but potentially disastrous when times are hard and volunteers will only give money if they are sure it is being

used well.

Together with a raft of minor commonsense improvements and updates, the other main proposal is necessary to put an end to the *Searchlight*/UAF rumour-mill tactic of unnerving and destabilising inexperienced members by exploiting the provision for a leadership election every year (although there will also be a failsafe provision by which a significant minority of elected councillors and party officials can in effect 'impeach' the leader in any year in the unfortunate event of their seeing a real need to do so).

No serious nationalist party anywhere in Europe elects its leader annually, and now that our opponents have got the hang of exploiting it to spread rumours, discord and media speculation it is easy to see why.

Because fixed-term leadership elections are entirely normal in a democratic nationalist party, organisations like the Vlaams Belang and the Front National have tried-and-tested procedures for running them. These two have been absent from our own constitution and, here too, the new proposals will - if adopted - put this right. A fixed set of rules, including for running regional and Internet-televised hustings debates between candidates, will ensure that the contests are serious and well-informed.

The BNP's opponents - both the far-left and their allies among the neo-Nazi keyboard warriors who infest the Internet - are already hysterical about these proposals, which they know would stop them destabilising the party with an annual whine-fest caused by a bogus 'challenge' by a no-hoper every year.

The bad faith of such critics is best shown by the way in which they have all kept quiet about the most important part of the fixed-term proposal - that the four-year cycle would begin with a leadership election next year. Far from my seeking to avoid an election as the liars and their dupes claim, the proposed constitutional changes would, if adopted, guarantee one.

This is because the proposals are there for the long-term good of the BNP, rather than for anyone's short-term convenience. With so much going for us in the years ahead, we can neither afford inbuilt instabilities that can be exploited by our enemies, nor lack of clarity about how to run a proper election which could be exploited for his or her own benefit by a leader whose time has passed. We need to get the foundations rock solid, and a sensible debate and decision at the special members' meeting at the end of this year's RWB will achieve just that.

So there you have it, a brief personal and organisational introduction to surviving the Age of Scarcity. Not the 'normal' fare for *Identity* readers but, there again, we are no longer in normal times. Your opinions and your own experiences would be of particular interest; let's be hearing from you.

# The Enemies of Free Enterprise

» This revealing report from Liverpool BNP tells how the Lib-Lab-Conspiracy virtually destroyed a thriving Liverpool business, which had also become a cultural institution

Peter Tierney (aka: Peter Quiggins) joined the party earlier this year after receiving a leaflet from a local BNP candidate, Peter Molloy.

"I had always had an affinity with the Party's National and Local cultural issues, and after meeting Mr Molloy, my belief in the party was confirmed," Peter said. "I had over the years realised the illusion portrayed by the three party "LIB-LAB-CON," was just that, *an illusion*. I felt the three parties had chopped up my city, and distributed it amongst themselves, thus creating 'A One Party State!'"

Along with his brother, Andrew Tierney (an artist with a keen interest in British/European politics), he became an ardent voluntary activist supporting Mr Molloy and several other local BNP candidates.

Peter Quiggins has become a high profile figure in the Cultural Industries within Liverpool after a major battle with Liverpool City Council & Big Business Tories headed by the Duke of Westminster, in the lead up to Liverpool's bid and subsequent win to gain the title of "European Capital City of Culture 2008."

Quiggins, an antique and vintage emporium in Liverpool City Centre, was founded by Peter in 1986. It provided a venue for local entrepreneurs with cottage industries. As its popularity soared, it soon outgrew its surroundings, and after a couple of years relocated to School Lane, a location within the centre of the city. The building was much larger in size, but in dire need of restoration, which was duly undertaken. This unassuming building in the back streets quickly became a magnet for artists, musicians and fashionistas alike. Fashion designers and students would trawl the vintage units for treasures and inspiration, magazine editors and scouts would come to observe the fashionistas with their exclusive street style!

Kids and parents alike thought they were educating each other when revealing their discovery of this utopia. Great was their disappointment, however, to find that each generation had already discovered it for themselves. Quiqqins had no discrimination for age; this diverse place had been purposely created to cater to all, and was an environment where all ages could happily wander around a myriad of little shops and stalls and find treasures of interest. They could then meet up at the café bar that served

"home-cooked family fayre" (something for all tastes). It was a relaxed informal hive of topical and creative discussion, a place to exchange knowledge and experience. The fear our elders had of today's youth had been alleviated by familiarity. This encouraged older and younger generations to mix, and even socialise together, which is all too often lost today, with many using the computer screen and the World Wide Web as a social network.

Quiggins hosted its own Art Gallery, Theatre Company and Live Music Café Bar, which sponsored local artists, musicians and playwrights, with many going on to achieve great things. It allowed small local businesses and cottage industries to incubate and gain a foothold in the city centre under its protective banner. Quiggins helped establish a myriad of enterprises ranging from antiques, retro, and records, to fashions, skateboards, and so much more. It was a private company and no funding or public money was ever given to Quiggins. All of this was accomplished by the people doing it for themselves, and very successfully at that!

The Lib Dems and previous councils had tried to set up many quangos in the hope of creating "cultural groups" in Liverpool. They almost always had diversity at the forefront, with an emphasis on 'multiculture', and here was a unique British industry evolving on their own doorstep (something this Lib-Dem run council couldn't manipulate, or for which it could not claim credit).

## THE FARCE OF 'CAPITAL OF CULTURE'

Unfortunately, this hive of local indigenous culture was soon to be extinguished in favour of The Duke of Westminster's £920m redevelopment plan and Liverpool's subsequent "prize" of

"European Capital of Culture" title.

By gaining "Capital of Culture" status for Liverpool, Peter, maybe naively, assumed this would be a reprieve for Quiggins. For after all, wasn't Quiggins an epitome of 'Culture'?

The new redevelopment 'Liverpool 1' was to devour the project. Quiggins was served a Compulsory Purchase Order by Liverpool City Council.

*[A compulsory purchase order is an order that helps Councils, under the guise of the law, to steal swathes of residential and commercial land and buildings from ordinary members of the public, at damage limitation budget prices for Big Business Conservative Tories, instead of using more common sense solutions that are available to more sympathetic councils.]*

Peter had been endeavouring to secure a new location, and had made a 'market-value' bid for the John Lewis (George Henry Lee) building situated on Church Street, Liverpool city centre's main street. Proof of funding was shown and a development plan put forward.

John Lewis was to be re-housed from their old site as the 'anchor store' for the "Duke's" new 46 acre privatised 'fiefdom', called 'Liverpool 1', to where the whole axis of the city was to be shifted... leaving a perfect location for Quiggins!

As Peter remarked, this "as a chance to progress, develop many more new local business opportunities, and regenerate at least a thousand new jobs within the cultural industry."

On a Saturday, Quiggins would attract on average 6,000 customers. Half of the footfall on School Lane would pass through the new proposed Quiggins site. This meant that the proposed Quiggins had the potential to be a major competitor to the Duke's 'Liverpool 1' complex. The threat to the trade of the 'Liverpool 1' was just too much.

The Lib Dem Council, along with the Duke of Westminster's company, Grosvenor, objected to plans put forward (even refusing to show them to the public) for the Quiggins development of the John Lewis building, and proceeded to block any progression of



**ABOVE:** Peter leads a demo against the closure of Quiggins. **LEFT:** Peter took the fight right to Number 10. **RIGHT:** The bizarre Superlambanana which was fiercely protected by the council.

Quiggins.

Quiggins had become an adversary. The Duke had cast a shadow over Quiggins, resulting in many doors being closed, eventually manoeuvring them into a forced submission.

Peter and his supporters, disillusioned by the way British culture was being eliminated in our cities, to be replaced with other cultures, then set up a campaign to save Quiggins. The media made sure the public was kept unaware of the real plight of Quiggins and Peter grew so frustrated that he went out on the streets to campaign for justice.

There he quickly amassed a petition with 150,000 names supporting a "Save Quiggins" campaign. Almost that many people had voted for the Lib Dems to run Liverpool. Help was asked for, and meekly offered from Labour MP Louise Elman and Labour leader Joe Anderson.

It wasn't until Labour MP Claire Curtis-Thomas (from outside the ward) showed some enthusiasm by offering to take the petition to No 10 Downing Street, and to state in the House of Commons that this 'cultural asset' to Liverpool should not be extinguished, that it came to light Louise Elman had actually opposed the Quiggins issue! She had deliberately lobbied the MP.

It was also revealed that Joe Anderson (who professed to be offering genuine support for the 250 jobs and 50 businesses within Quiggins) was the chaperone escorting the "Capital of Culture judges" around Liverpool. He deliberately avoided a visit to Quiggins, confirming Peter's suspicions that (with the permission of John Prescott and higher) the Lib-Lab-Con had an agenda to destroy any signs of British culture in Liverpool which were under private control.

"The 150,000 names on the petition to save this Liverpool icon hadn't even made an impression at No 10," Peter said. "I then launched a campaign to trigger a referendum to 'Save Quiggins - Change the council', which gathered so much momentum only 7,000 more names were needed to force the referendum. But just at this point my teenage son became very ill," said Peter, "and I had to abandon the campaign. If I had started it at the beginning instead of doing the 'Save Quiggins' campaign, the outcome would have been different!"

Peter was eventually manoeuvred and manipulated into submission while at the same time a wealthy 'carpet-bagger' (who happened to be sitting on an empty building, of which Peter had actually been interested) was being groomed by the perpetrators in the event of Quiggins' tenants being left stranded. He registered a copycat name and tried to replicate Quiggins, which suited the council and Grosvenor as this duped the public into thinking Quiggins had survived and relocated. This eliminated any bad press for the council's culture company, although in reality 80% of Quiggins' tenants dispersed and moved into back rooms up and down Bold Street (an area on the edge of the City Centre).





Peter in his new shop

In June 2006 this iconic establishment followed the same road as the famous "Cavern" and was extinguished from Liverpool by a Lib Dem council. The Cavern had to be rebuilt; this was under the pressure of public demand. Yet Quiggins has been written out of Liverpool's history, thus highlighting *The City of Culture* as a farce. This echoed the fate of the Irish Centre, also banished from the city centre, in the '90s. *This also ended in a bitter public battle with sit-ins and protest marches!*

Taking Peter out of the city centre denied Liverpool people who trade within the cultural and cottage industries the opportunity to trade within their own city centre.

"More had been done to save 'The Superlambanana' than to save Quiggins (a proven cultural asset)," said Peter. "This degenerate piece of so-called pop art is about to replace Liverpool's true identity, the Liverbird, which is a true piece of fine art. It won't be long before it is adopted by the council traitors as the heading on their note paper. Yet another piece of our identity is gone."

The Superlambanana was a temporary sculpture created by Manhattan-based Japanese artist Tao Chietzo, as an ironic comment on the dangers of genetic engineering, only to be adopted and politically rebranded and used as a cultural image for Liverpool. A huge campaign was launched by the Council and politicians, local celebrities and media to keep it in the City. Local radio D.J. Pete Price offered £50,000 out of his own pocket to keep this sculpture in the city.

The old John Lewis Building has now been sold to a large DIY outlet.

Peter has since opened a small antique shop on the outskirts of Liverpool. His compensation was little more than a pavement injury insurance claim, which has financially disabled any sort of return of Quiggins to his city. After two years, he still awaits financial closure, but he will never regain a foothold in the city centre, until a genuine political party that cares for local communities and small indigenous businesses takes control - as opposed to "Big Business Dictatorship."

Peter Quiggins wishes to make it clear that he has never been a member of any political party in the past, although he was approached many times. Ironically, this was during and after the campaign he had spearheaded to change the Liverpool City Council. He told *Identity*, "After more than 20 years in the cultural industries, I have noticed the slow eradication of our identity of British culture. I know it makes sense to vote British National Party - the only opposition to this one-party state! It is the only party using commonsense politics."

Firstly it must be understood that while each and every brave soul that stands under the banner of Nationalism is not necessarily a spiritual type, those who have a complete and proper knowledge of the subject are much more entwined in just who we really are.

When a Briton makes the conscious decision to become a Nationalist from a perspective of fear, such as fear of an economic downturn or fear of overcrowding, he is responding to an immediate feeling of danger. This is a perfectly natural response, but it is also a negative way of thinking in that it is a last resort or a defensive measure.

The Western mind has been forced over many years to think in such negative terms. Many of us only ever take action when danger threatens, and at all other times we generally sink back into a lazy existence. When we find enough spare time in our busy lives to actually do something useful, it is common practice to use that time to do nothing of any productive value.

#### BELOVED NATION

All readers will recognise the above characteristics perhaps either in themselves or certainly in those around them. While our beloved nation is falling down around us, many Britons choose to relax or hide. Yet despite this fashionably casual attitude to life, greater numbers are seeing the dangers that face us and are now considering themselves to be Nationalist, either as a permanent choice or merely whilst at the Polling Station. This is, of course, good news.

But there are two levels to Nationalism, and it is the second, deeper level, which we must explore. The Nationalist who is really of value to our cause is the man, woman or youth who has come to Nationalism not so much through fear of outside influence but through an innate comprehension of things that grow.

Nationalism is unlike every other ideology in that it comes not

# Spiritual Nationalism

from the conscious mind but from within the very soul of a folk-group, and thus is a product of Nature. This is the main reason why Nationalist political parties have traditionally found the reasoning behind their deeds so hard to put into words, and why only the most eloquent leaders and thinkers have been able to break into the world of mainstream media and thus promote their feelings rather than their ideas.

As Nationalism is an organic product of the folk-soul, it thus follows that, as with the eternal Laws of Nature, it is a perfect model of the concept of evolution promoted as an ideology. All things that grow and are a part of Nature's grand design evolve, improve and reach new horizons. If successful, they leave behind a little of themselves to continue the path of cyclical evolution, which Pagans term 'The Turning of the Wheel'. This phrase is generally taken to mean the revolution of the seasons, but actually implies much more - it is actually a metaphor for life itself.

Two things now become apparent:

1. True Nationalism is not about fear but about eternal evolution and achievement.
2. True Nationalists must first develop an understanding of Nature before they can add productively and ideologically to the cause.

The backgrounds of the best and most influential Nationalists, if studied closely, often include the following:

- An interest in working on the land
- An interest in trees
- An interest in ornithology
- An interest in gardening
- An interest in naturalistic sporting pursuits such as fishing
- An interest in the cosmos

- An interest in past traditions which revolve around the seasons

Thus those best psychologically positioned to fully grasp the ethos of Nationalism are foresters, gardeners, farmers, rangers, fishermen and so forth.

I say now that whilst great and valuable activists can come from any background or walk of life, the true great thinkers behind the future growth of our movement will come not from a sterile mental environment but from a vibrant Naturalistic one. Geographical location matters not, but psychology most certainly does. Those who refuse to understand the ways of Mother Nature will never fully grasp the basic motivation behind the ideology of Nationalism.

Fortunately all is not lost; the children of Albion are but a few short generations from an era where we all lived more closely with the land. It is in our blood, and although many of us have been forcibly wrapped in a toxic skin of modernity, inside each of us is the potential to break through to the surface like a germinating seed in its quest to reach the sun.


Only the most self-loathing individual refuses to acknowledge this, and they have to a man long since drawn their uncrossable line in the sand, standing bow-legged in the rank, decaying, and putrid realm of neo-liberalism. Nationalism is the polar opposite of neo-liberalism, and where Nationalism promotes the workings of Nature; neo-liberals fear it and try to halt its progress.

Spiritual Nationalism, with its evolutionary ideals and pureness of purpose, blows like a fresh wind across Britain and into our hearts, and must be promoted in this most positive, uplifting manner by those who truly understand both our ideology and their own soul if this land and her people are to escape stagnation and death, and proceed on a path of breathtaking achievement.

Nationalism is evolution, evolution is Natural.

» Phil Reddal writes that the lies, deceit and trickery (promoted by greedy, corrupt men and women from politicians to business and media players), aimed to halt Nationalism as a political movement and destroy Western Spirituality in its entirety, has made it very hard for Joe Average to see Nationalism and Western Spirituality in its true light.

m  
t



# Problems With a Passport Application



Dear Minister,

I'm in the process of renewing my passport but I am at a total loss to understand or believe the hoops I am being asked to jump through. How is it that Bert Smith of T.V. Rentals, Basingstoke, has my address and telephone number and knows that I bought a satellite dish from them back in 1994, and yet, the Government is still asking me where I was born and on what date?

How come that nice West African immigrant chappy who comes round every Thursday night with his DVD rentals van can tell me every film or video I have had out since he started his business up eleven years ago, yet you still want me to remind you of my last three jobs, two of which were with contractors working for the government?

How come the T.V. detector van can tell if my T.V. is on, what channel I am watching and whether I have paid my licence or not, and yet if I win the government run lottery they have no idea I have won or where I am and will keep the bloody money to themselves if I fail to claim in good time.

## DO YOU PEOPLE DO THIS BY HAND?

You have my birth date on numerous files you hold on me, including the one with all the income tax forms I've filed for the past 30-odd years. It's on my health insurance card, my driver's licence, on the last four passports I've had, on all those stupid customs declaration forms I've had to fill out before being allowed off the planes and boats over the last 30 years, and all those insufferable census forms that are done every ten years. It's also on the electoral registration forms I have to complete, by law, every time our lords and masters are up for re-election.

Would somebody please take note, once and for all, that I was born in Maidenhead on the 4<sup>th</sup> of March 1957, my mother's name is Mary, her maiden name was Reynolds, my father's name is Robert, and I'd be absolutely astounded if that ever changed between now and the day I die!

I apologise Minister. I'm obviously not myself this morning.

But between you and me, I have simply had enough! You mail the application to my house, and then you ask me for my address. What is going on? Do you have a gang of Neanderthals working there? Look at my picture. Do I look like Bin Laden? I don't want to activate the Fifth Reich for heaven's sake! I just want to go and park my weary backside on a sunny, sandy beach for a couple of weeks' well-earned rest away from all this crap.


Well, I have to go now, because I have to go back to Salisbury and get another copy of my birth certificate because you lost the last one, AND to the tune of 60 quid! What a racket THAT is!! Would it be so complicated to have all the services in the same spot to assist in the issuance of a new passport the same day? But nooooo, that'd be too easy and maybe make sense. You'd rather have us running all over the place like chickens with our heads cut off, and then find some idiot to confirm that it's really me on the picture - you know... the one where we're not allowed to smile in case we look as if we are enjoying the process! Hey, you know why we can't smile? 'Cause we're totally jacked off!

I served in the armed forces for more than 25 years including over ten years at the Ministry of Defence in London. I have had security clearances which allowed me to sit in the Cabinet Office, five seats away from the then Prime Minister while he was being briefed on the first Gulf War and I have been doing volunteer work for the British Red Cross ever since I left the Services.

However, I have to get someone "important" to verify who I am - you know, someone like my doctor... who, before he got his medical degree six months ago .... WAS LIVING IN PAKISTAN!?!?

Yours sincerely,  
An Irate British Citizen

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**



joey smith  
not just about the music

A lively pop sound. Lyrics wise beyond his years. Joey Smith is the new face and voice of patriotic music. The stunning new album from Great White Records contains 11 original tracks, performed and recorded in GWR's own studio. This album is now available for purchase for just £11 (inc p&p), you can pick it up by ordering online at [www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com), or you can send a cheque made payable to 'Great White Records' to:  
PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW.

**GREATWHITE**

The diagramme **World Population: Trouble Ahead** shows that Planet Earth's human population increased very slowly until about 1750. Then it began a steep rise that continues to this day. The Industrial Revolution was responsible. It introduced machines powered by fossil fuels (coal, oil and gas) that replaced human and animal muscle, allowing a rapid increase in the production of food. As the new expertise spread around the globe, population growth began to escape the old limits imposed by food shortage and its consequences: famine and war.

In 1798 the English economist Thomas Malthus published his *Essay on the Principle of Population*, which argued that population growth would eventually overtake food production, causing worldwide famine. Many precedents prove his point: overpopulation combined with scarce resources seldom fails to cause want, hunger and conflict. Animal and plant populations flourish when new resources become available, and collapse when they run out. The fossil fuels that have nurtured our population explosion since 1750 are now so depleted that demand for them is beginning to exceed supply. In particular, Peak Oil has been reached. The price of oil, gas and coal will rise until poor people cannot afford them or the commodities made from them. Food production will decline, year after year. Earth's population will peak and then collapse in famine and conflict. Malthus's prediction will come true.

Before the Industrial Revolution, world population was sustained by renewable energy and numbered about half a billion.

Similar conditions will apply when fossil fuels are used up, 150 years from now. The difference, if we act intelligently, could be that the world's sustainable population of about half a billion would enjoy a moderately comfortable quality of life, unlike the poverty that was universal in 1750. This would be because, in 2150, we should be able to produce enough renewable and nuclear energy to adequately sustain half a billion people, by drawing on our scientific and technical inheritance - unless we have lost this by not acting intelligently.

Malthus's prediction of population collapse will undoubtedly come true, in view of the simple fact that a farmer today, using diesel-driven machinery and artificial fertilizer (made from natural gas) relies on the "40 Factor". Because he uses fossil fuels he can produce at least forty times as much food as he could if deprived of them. But to reach sustainability without falling into squalid poverty we will need to manage the Malthusian population collapse. There are two options, management or no management (a third option: generating enough alternative energy to replace fossil fuels in all their uses, seems impossible at present).

#### MALTHUS UNMANAGED

This later is the do-nothing option. When *Homo sapiens*' Darwinian instinct towards "survival of the fittest" (the fittest in this case being the most selfish and merciless) takes its natural course, as Malthus predicted would happen, a likely world population crash from perhaps 7.5 billion to

half a billion by way of famine, murder and genocide will be the most terrible human catastrophe, which could last 150 years, that Planet Earth will ever experience. Many precedents (examined in my book *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750-2000*) chart the course that the population crash, reacting to acute commodity shortages and the disappearance of aids to comfortable living such as mains electricity, shopping, and motor transport, will naturally follow.

Precedents show that the first stage in population reduction in a society that is having difficulty surviving is the elimination of minorities. They are harassed, persecuted and murdered, by the time-honoured procedures of ethnic cleansing and genocide. Recent precedents include Rwanda, Bosnia and the eastern Congo, and of course the Jewish Holocaust, but these conflicts were not pursued to their bitter ends, thanks to intervention by powerful external forces. That reservation will no longer apply. The society affected will become truly monocultural.

The first signs of extreme lethal conflicts may well appear in the UK before 2012, when Peak Oil is past and many people cannot afford the high and still rising prices of food, energy, materials, transport etc caused by world competition for the declining supplies of oil and the commodities that depend on it. Travel by land, sea and air will be diminishing year after year. The British economy itself, after decades of trade deficits, will be short on purchasing power.

In 2012 the native white British population will number around 55.5 million and the ethnic minorities about 6.5 million

# Our Future is Malthusian

(estimates based on latest ONS figures).

Population reduction could be mainly by slaughter, because the opportunities for emigration will be few given that adjacent countries will be experiencing the same shortages and conflicts. Assuming also that more of the white majority would be killed than their opponents, because the whites are, on average, older and softer, it may be that by 2020 the UK population will have been reduced to about 40 million, the same as it was before World War One, by this means alone.

Precedents suggest that the ethnic conflict will begin with gang attacks on property and those within, stealing, murdering and burning. Revenge attacks would follow, developing into total lawlessness wherever there is a juxtaposition of races. Intervention by Government will be at best half-hearted, because it will be obvious that there is no future in saving lives at a time of worsening shortages of everything, especially food (remember this is in a Malthus Unmanaged scenario). The armed forces, police and militias may be set to guard vital installations such as power stations, but looting and robbery with violence will be universal. Private armies will be created, for defence and plunder. Society as we know it will break down. Political correctness and sentimentalism including 'human rights' and civil liberties will no longer exist. They were the obstinately cherished dogmas which caused this ultimate disaster by preventing, since the 1960s, public discussion of the real consequences of immigration, multiculturalism and overpopulation.

By the 2020s democratic government as we know it will have ended. If private armies rule the British Isles, each one seeking to survive at the expense of the others, something akin to tribalism could develop. Maybe a 'strongman' or dictator will achieve power, like Hitler, Tito or Stalin, and unify the tribes, but the analogy is superficial because these tyrants were able to draw on adequate resources. The UK in 2030 will be short of every kind of resource except people, many of whom will be of no value to the society they live in, because they are starving. Private armies, each one ruling and defending its own territory, will in true tribal fashion keep the top men in comfort and their soldiers privileged, while the common people struggle to supply the rulers' needs and to survive themselves.

Without a central government the UK cannot function as a nation. There will be no foreign trade, no purchases of food or resources from abroad. The internet will be dead, for lack of universal mains electricity, taking with it most of our accumulated scientific know-how, though some will remain in such books as are preserved. Perhaps a few nuclear power stations will still function, jealously guarded with their operators by the local strong man's army, and the same may apply to the remains of North Sea oil and gas, and possibly a few coal mines. But overshadowing the whole will be the lack of food. Obtaining food will be the main preoccupation of the whole British population, as it will be in the rest of the world.

Forty million people cannot survive in comfort in a UK lacking plentiful fossil fuels and with no income from selling

services or manufactured goods abroad. Pre-Industrial Revolution conditions will return, with most of the population working on the land, growing food, mostly by hand. The precedent, in the UK climate, is the survival of peasants in the overpopulated western counties of Ireland in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century. They grew potatoes. Men supported their large families, in squalor, on tiny acreages of land until a fungal blight destroyed the crop in 1845-46. Within a decade famine and emigration reduced the Irish population by a quarter.

In the UK in the mid-21<sup>st</sup> century, when survival in this Unmanaged Malthus scenario depends on individual initiative (mainly robbery with violence), there will be no private transport, no shops, no banks, no health service, no telephones; none, in fact, of the luxuries that we now treat as part of normal living but were rare, in many cases, before World War Two. A typical day, for the average Briton, will be spent working on the land, growing and trying to store securely vegetable crops, especially potatoes, fetching water from a stream or well, tending the horses and oxen that provide muscle power, cutting wood for fuel and simple furniture, and organising local defence against marauding gangs intent on looting food stores. Men will be liable at any time to be conscripted into a private army.

Every day will see many people dying of hunger, cold or disease. The population will plunge downwards. After a few decades it will approach the sustainable level of about 6 million that was normal in the centuries before the Industrial Revolution.

» Dr William Stanton contends that the prediction of Thomas Malthus that the earth's population will collapse in famine and conflict is about to become true. This article goes further and updates the case argued in his book *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750 - 2000*, which was reviewed in *Identity*, October 2005. He rightfully believes that this book was rejected by the establishment publishers because it diverges from conventional wisdom and political correctness. Although we do not agree with all of his conclusions, particularly any aspect of euthanasia, we consider that in spite of its controversial nature it is a contribution to the discussion on post-Peak Oil. We have to consider that the extra energy that will be required in the future means that idlers can't be tolerated and all who are capable of work will have to work. The era of a free lunch is over.

We would emphasise that the author's views are not to be interpreted as part of British National Party policy.

Most people, including the women and children, will be agricultural labourers. If the weather is kind they may accumulate food surpluses to barter for goods that opportunistic looters in the early days took from warehouses and factories, such as boots, clothes and blankets. Craftsmen, such as blacksmiths and carpenters, will evolve to make simple tools that can no longer be found in derelict stores or deserted houses. People will try to repair the collapsing houses in which they are camping. Poverty will be extreme, just as it was before the advent of fossil fuels. Eventually, population will fall to the level, perhaps one to two million, at which living off the land, as peasants, will be possible. There will be fewer people than before the Industrial Revolution because so much good agricultural land has been sterilised by buildings, roads etc, but it may be that a society will evolve comparable to that of medieval England.

In short, the UK under Malthus Unmanaged conditions will be a place of pain, exhaustion, squalor, violence, starvation and misery, enduring for a century or more, with no light at the end of the tunnel to look forward to. The population will be paying the penalty for thoughtlessly believing the unintelligent statements of politically correct politicians and sentimentalist opinion formers who were incapable of recognising reality. They failed to plan ahead and use their intelligence to choose the Malthus Managed option.

#### MALTHUS MANAGED

*Homo sapiens* claims to be an intelligent species, and without doubt, if we applied our intelligence, we could reduce world population to a stable half-billion in 2150 with no great physical discomfort. A fairly comfortable standard of living could be maintained throughout the process, until sustainability was reached. But mental obstacles, built up during half a century of sentimental and politically correct brainwashing, are so challenging that most people, even with all the evidence set before them, are unable to overcome them. Experience has shown this. The Challenge of Malthus Managed is therefore examined at length below.

#### CHALLENGE OF MALTHUS MANAGED

There is a decision to make. Until now it has been possible to put it off to some time in the fairly distant future, when climate change, or fossil fuel scarcity, 'begins to bite'. I have demonstrated, however, using simple down-to-earth evidence (Demographics and the 40 Factor, *Optimum Population Trust Journal* vol 7 no 2, October 2007), that as soon as Peak Oil is passed, between 2005 and 2012, the growing shortage of liquid fuels and artificial ger a

fertilizers will trigger a steady decline in food production globally towards an ultimate figure of less than one fortieth of current capacity, when oil and gas are effectively used up, some 150 years from now. That figure could be sustainable, maintaining a moderately comfortable standard of living by hard work in a world totally different to our familiar 2008. But the whole idea is so unwelcome to most people that they ignore it.

The required decision is: do we let nature take its normal Darwinian course of population reduction by conflict, murder, starvation and genocide, making no attempt to save ourselves by intelligent planning, or do we marshal our intelligence to achieve an orderly reduction, albeit at some sacrifice, to eventual sustainability?

The choice, in principle, is between Malthus Unmanaged and Malthus Managed.


The precedents are bleak. In every case where rival national or ethnic or religious communities were held together against their Darwinian inclinations by some restraining force, law and order collapsed when that force was removed. Yugoslavia, its warring tribes united for a short while by the iron rule of Marshal Tito, is a prime example; Rwanda, which greatly exceeded its carrying capacity, is another. These and many other lesser conflicts around the world, have been temporarily halted by 'peacekeeping' forces, and would be resumed if those forces went away. All were, and are, examples of early stages in

the Malthus Unmanaged scenario. Every nation that makes no attempt to break away from these unfortunate precedents will suffer the same fate.

The alternative, unless new bright ideas are proposed, is Malthus Managed. So far, my politically incorrect suggestions as to how it might be achieved have been met with denial and disgust, fortified, perhaps, by a comfortable feeling that the choice can be delayed indefinitely, together with a disinterest in historical precedents. That time is now past.

During most of history human life was cheap, because the means to avert starvation and disease were lacking. Women had many babies, but most of them died from malnutrition. Populations were virtually static, at very low levels compared to the present. They were 'sustainable' inasmuch as some people survived, often malnourished and exhausted by physical labour to produce food. The change came with the Industrial and Agricultural Revolutions in the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century. More food was produced, year after year, using fossil fuels, deaths from malnourishment decreased, and populations expanded. The period of weak restraints on growth, WROG, had begun.

For 250 years it has been possible to rescue family, friends and the deserving poor from death, with relative ease. 'Saving lives' has become instinctive, the hallmark of good, responsible daily living, but people forget, if they ever knew, that it could not have happened without that vast increase in



# WORLD POPULATION: TROUBLE AHEAD


NB: Total human environmental impact on Planet Earth = average impact per person x number of people

Current growth rates: 80 million extra people per year, 1.5 million per week, 10,000 per hour


Natural resources (oil, water, soil etc) per person = total resources ÷ number of people

Planet Earth's ideal population (sustainable and in balance with the environment) would be about 500 million people

## 1. THE GEOLOGICAL RECORD



## 2. APES AND MEN



## 3. THE HUMAN POPULATION SPIKE

Caused by exploitation, then exhaustion, of fossil fuels



food production, thanks to fossil fuel energy.

Malthus Managed is based on the reversal of instinctive behaviour. The concept may seem unacceptable, but the need is logical and unavoidable. Less fossil fuel energy for agriculture means less food produced, thus fewer people can be fed. The population must shrink in step with the dwindling food supply, year after year, until some kind of stability is reached. If the chaos and misery of Malthus Unmanaged is to be avoided, the process must follow an agreed plan, which, in principle, will allow measured and orderly population reduction with no great physical pain and no loss of our civilised lifestyle.

It would be foolish to suppose that planned population reduction could be achieved worldwide. Few national populations could cope with the self-denial required. Nor could the action be voluntary. At times of national peril, as in world wars, responsible nation states take over. For survival, Government is by decree. Young people are conscripted into the armed forces, resources are rationed, and every aspect of daily life is directed towards the desperate goal of national survival. And the hard fact is that individuals who will not, or cannot, make a contribution to national survival can no longer expect to be supported automatically. In a world of enforced population reduction, life is not a

right but a privilege. Individual survival must be subordinate to national survival. 'Human rights' will be as dead as the dodo.

So how can orderly population reduction be achieved? Take the UK as an example. The current UK population of just over 60 million must fall to a sustainable level, about six (as stated earlier) or even two million, by the time that fossil fuels are finally exhausted and all energy is renewable or nuclear, about 150 years from now.

## THE CHINESE EXAMPLE

The most effective measure, by far, is also the least painful, and has a precedent in China today. It is: every woman is entitled to raise one healthy child, on average. A woman who wants no children can transfer her entitlement to a woman who wants more than one. For obvious reasons it is no use monitoring men. Assuming a life expectancy of 75 years and a new generation every 25 years, starting in 2010, the population at the end of each generation would be, in millions

2010: 60.0
2035: 58.4
2060: 47.9
2085: 34.6
2110: 17.5
2135: 8.7
2160: 4.3

2185: 2.1

which is the target figure, reached after 175 years by means of the one child per woman procedure alone. (*We would remind readers that, as stated in our introduction, this is one of several controversial views put forward by the author - which are not supported by the BNP - Editor*)

National survival demands other more controversial (in a politically correct society) measures, which may be justified as follows. If the UK follows the Malthus Managed route, it will be one of a very small number of nations trying to live peacefully in a chaotic Unmanaged world. It must defend its borders against opportunistic invaders; it must trade for resources lacking at home, and it must protect access to vital assets overseas such as North Sea oil. Strong armed forces are essential, and the economy must be devoted to supporting them, as in wartime. A radical change in the nature of punishment for crime will help in this respect.

We would also have to consider what must be done about imprisonment of criminals, which is vastly expensive and sterilises huge manpower resources. Currently the UK prison population is about 80,000, and an equal number of prison and ancillary staff monitor and support the prisoners. The value to the national economy of 160,000 mostly able-bodied people is nil. On the contrary, it is a massive cost for no benefit. Locking prisoners in jail is madness when they could be out in electronic chain gangs reclaiming productive land from the sea. The punishment for greater crimes such as murder, or anything that frustrates the smooth running of the national survival effort, such as drug dealing, should be death. Life is not a right, but a privilege, in the unfamiliar circumstances of population reduction when, in the early years, the reduction must amount to 3,800 every day (see below).

Anyone who objects to summary punishment on the grounds that it is inhumane or uncivilised has not understood the context. In a nation fighting to survive everyone must pull his or her weight. There can be no useless or counter-productive passengers. World War Two was so long ago that few people remember, or know, that all young men were conscripted, i.e. forced, to join the armed forces and fight and risk death for their country, just as is proposed here.

Conscription, by any name, is an ancient custom. It could advantageously be reintroduced to a Managed UK, given the need for effective defence and a large pool of disciplined man and woman power ready to carry out any work of national importance. Several years of compulsory national service following education would benefit both the nation and the young people themselves, replacing the aimlessness and idleness that is a cause of



so much crime in 2008.

It need hardly be said that immigration into a UK engaged in reducing its population would be very strictly controlled, and limited to persons whose presence would be of genuine value to the nation. Seen from the chaotic Unmanaged outer world the UK would seem a haven of peace, law and order, tempting illegal immigration. The consequences of adding to the population in this way would be so serious that a strong deterrent for illegals, when detected, might have to be considered.


Since the end of World War Two, UK citizens have enjoyed a comfortable, unthreatened existence. Only the oldest among them remember the dread of defeat in war, and of living the rest of their lives under the feet of callous dictators. To avoid defeat the UK population accepted the total reversal of their easy lifestyle. The rights and freedoms of the individual were abandoned in favour of national survival. Government by decree demanded that men and women should be prepared to fight and die, or serve in dangerous vital occupations (such as the merchant navy), for as long as the war lasted, to achieve national survival.

Now it is hard to believe that a decade

will see the end of our comfortable lifestyle and, if we want to survive as a nation, the reversal of our long-established mindset. The basic considerations are, for the UK:

- The food supply will shrink, year on year, for 150 years.
- The population must reduce in step with the food supply.
- Population reduction must be about 2.3 per cent per year, on average. This amounts to about 1.3 million reduction per year, or about 3,800 per day, in the first years. As the total population reduces, so will the annual quota.
- The UK will be an island of stability in a chaotic hostile world and must be strong enough to defend its integrity, its borders, its trade and its overseas assets. (*You would need a population of more than two million to do this! - Editor*)
- Every able-bodied citizen must therefore contribute to the national survival effort. It would have to be considered that in some instances caring for helpless, disabled or antisocial persons could undermine the survival effort, causing national collapse.

If the hard decision was taken, euthanasia



should be considered with the utmost thoughtfulness and gentleness. In practice, many invalids and old people would welcome it. To pay the innately criminal and stupid to be voluntarily sterilised would help reduce and improve the quality of the population. Religious objections, inherited from long ago and incorporated into outdated laws, are the main reason for refusing to allow people to end their lives when they want to. In Managed UK, where the population must shrink by 2.3 per cent every year, voluntary suicide also would be tolerated and made easy. (Again, it is stressed that the BNP could not support euthanasia. In any case what is the point of resorting to euthanasia to speed up the reduction rate of the population, as the elderly are going to die of natural causes within a few years? - Editor)

Precedents may be useful, albeit uncomfortable with those unable to grasp the seriousness of the situation. In China, India and several other countries, in 2008, medical scans are used to determine the sex of the foetus, which is aborted if the finding is unwelcome. Infanticide immediately after birth is also practised for the same reason. There can be no arbitrary age limit at which an individual ceases to be valuable to society or to national survival. Old people

may be physically weak, but with a lifetime's experience behind them they can use their wisdom to advise, administer and educate, or work in occupations such as communicating, manufacturing or nurturing in hospitals. There can be no retirement. Meanwhile strong active younger people can gain experience as they defend the nation, trade abroad, enforce the law and produce food and energy.

If injury or old age robs individuals of the ability to care for themselves physically, the value to the nation of their mental abilities must be considered. The reverse is true also. Thus a physically disabled person may be adept at operating a computer, or an Alzheimer's sufferer may be good at simple physical tasks such as horticulture.

In the early years of Malthus Managed, before the one child per woman law (as already practiced in China) is having a big effect but population must still be reduced by 3,800 every day, the reduction over and above natural deaths (1,600 per day in 2006) may be achieved by implementing the proposals above. In hospitals, the instruction "Thou shalt not kill, but need not strive/officiously to keep alive" would be wise advice where a patient is terminally ill and unable to contribute anything to

society.

These proposals are not inhumane. They are necessary to cope with the unprecedented conditions that will develop after Peak Oil is passed. Every year there is less food available. The important thing is that throughout the duration of Malthus Managed all the essentials of life today, including peace and the rule of law are maintained, albeit sometimes in short supply and subject to rationing. Our quality of life is not totally lost, and when, after 150 years, UK population reaches six or even only two million, it can enter into sustainability with all the national infrastructure intact and in full possession of its scientific and technological inheritance.

*(How the population could be encouraged to drift down is a matter which can only be settled by an informed, grown-up, national debate. It is not for politicians to play God, but it is for a nation that wishes to avoid catastrophe to ask some very serious questions and try to come up with the most humane and decent ways to address the problem - Editor)*

The alternative is starvation, lawlessness, mass murder and squalor, in other words, Malthus Unmanaged. Either way, the population cannot avoid shrinking in step with the shrinking food supply. Which way is best? If someone says to me "I couldn't possibly approve of Malthus Managed because infanticide or abortion or assisted suicide or capital punishment denies human rights" my response will be "So you prefer the horrors of Malthus Unmanaged." It has to be one or the other.

Precedents indicate that after Peak Oil, an early development in any country in response to resource scarcity will be Darwinian conflict between ethnic or religious communities. Small communities may be wiped out. An elite may seize power and keep it by favouring the armed forces. That is the point at which, if the elite is intelligent, the choice of Malthus Managed over Malthus Unmanaged is most likely to be made.

**Comments on Dr Stanton's conclusions on the problems of expanding world population will be published in the September issue.**

Dr William Stanton MBE was awarded a PhD in 1953 by Imperial College, London University, for postgraduate geological research. He worked overseas, principally in Africa, for nearly twenty years for mining companies, and for another twenty years as a consulting geologist for Wessex Water and the Bristol Avon River Authority.

He is the author of many scientific papers, two books on the Mendip Caves and, importantly, "The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750-2003", a comprehensive demographic research and reference book.

# CELTIC CROSS JEWELLERY

Online: <http://excalibur.bnpp.org.uk>  
PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW  
Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**



## **Celtic Cross Intricate Design**

The cross design dates back to the earliest Celtic pre-Christian times. Such was the power of its symbolism that it was incorporated into Christianity and is today an enduring symbol of Celtic culture. This intricate design cross is based on actual patterns found at ancient sites in Britain. Supplied with a stainless steel necklace. Handcrafted in England from pewter.


Price: £9.38 including p&p



## **Celtic Cross Moone Pendant**


The cross design dates back to the earliest Celtic pre-Christian times. Such was the power of its symbolism that it was incorporated into Christianity and is today an enduring symbol of Celtic culture. This Moone design is based on actual patterns found at ancient sites in Britain. Supplied with a stainless steel necklace. Handcrafted in England from pewter.

Price: £9.38 including p&p



## A Nation of Immigrants?

A must read! Using genetic, archaeological and historical evidence, this book shows that the British people are NOT a "nation of immigrants" as the far left lies, but actually a highly homogenous group of people with roots going back millennia. Perfect to refute those who try and justify today's mass Third World immigration. £9.38 including p&p



## The Public and the Police

A fascinating insight into how Britain's police forces are being crippled by the demands of political correctness and impossible "performance targets" which means that officers only spend 14% of their time actually on patrol. Based on a genuine police officer's accounts between June and Dec. 2007, it reveals precisely what decades of Tory/Labour policies have done to destroy Britain's constabularies. Highly recommended. £6.50 including p&p



## Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242

Online: <http://excalibur.bnpp.org.uk>  
PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW - Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"

**Excalibur**

# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Racism and Knife Attacks

Under pressure from a shocked public, the Home Office has revealed that knife crime offences in England and Wales over the past year have totalled 22,151 - 7,409 of these in London. However, eagerly supported by the BBC, it is making great play of a supposedly 9 per cent reduction in crime recorded by the Police, with the violent crime sector being reduced by 8 per cent.

The key word here is *recorded* crime. It is now well known that in those areas hardest hit by crime many people are not even bothering to report burglaries or even muggings because their experience says that even if the police turn up no action will result. Overall, less than half of crimes are actually reported. A secondary factor is that because of the advances made in car security by the manufacturers, car theft by amateur thieves and "joy riders" has fallen considerably, bringing the vehicle crime category down by 14 per cent, thus helping to lower the overall recorded crime rate.

It is but a further example of "smoke and mirrors" policies from a beleaguered government who have no idea how to control crime in general, let alone stop the daily murder of mainly young men on the streets of our towns and cities. It is often black upon black knife murders by teenagers, who if they reach twenty will then resort to using guns.

What is worrying is the fact that a number of these murders by knifing appear to be racially motivated. But as it is whites murdered by blacks it is not considered as a racial incident by our media, certainly not the BBC. Of those murders carried out this summer, this seemed highly likely to be the case with the Sidcup murder of the young bit-part "Harry Potter" actor (two blacks arrested), and also with the murder of Ben Kinsella, brother of "East Enders" actress Brooke Kinsella four blacks arrested. Incidentally, it was noticeable that in the protest march of six hundred or more young people in North London, there were some black girls present giving their support, but no black boys.

In the March issue this year of *Identity* Nick Griffin gave us an extensive report, *Racism Cuts Both Ways*, on how the political elite, the media, the police and the courts are covering up an epidemic of racist violence against our long suffering indigenous people. As a result of research work by a BNP team, the article was full of facts and statistics to show how bad the situation really is. But even with the latest bout of killings (and I have only mentioned two above), you ask a typical Joe Public to name a victim of racist violence and the only name he can give you is Stephen Lawrence, or possibly Anthony Walker. Goebbels was an amateur in the art of brainwashing compared with our modern media practitioners.

I have mentioned before in past issues a newssheet called *Combat* that I used to edit in a former life. In a 1959 issue I ran a front page report headed "Blacks Go Berserk", with a photo of a West Indian gentleman, frothing at the mouth and being held by two policemen. Of course today, having become more educated in the ways of mankind (and having read all the updates on various Race Relations Acts) I realise that I should have headed the article "Some Black People, Like Whites in General and Not Necessarily Descendants of Vikings, Go Berserk".



My article was actually based on two reports from the London Metropolitan Police and the West Midlands Police which at that time had expressed concern in the growing number of crimes of violence being carried out by some newly arrived West Indians. The rate per head of population was something like four times that committed by the indigenous Brits.

Many West Indians at that time were God-fearing people who formed the then typical family units. Today, many of these black boys involved in street crimes, including murder, do not have a father to control them, and no doubt some have inherited those genes of violence. As for police reports, well they stopped taking ethnicity into account long, long ago, even before Stephen Lawrence was born.

## 'Enjoy' Being Bred Out Of Existence

"Multicultural Britain Enjoys a Modern-Day Baby Boom". This was the heading that the *Daily Telegraph*, 11.07.08, gave to its report on the Office for National Statistics report that one in four babies in Britain are now born to foreign mothers a figure that was emphasised in most other media reports. Importantly, the ONS report does not reveal how many of the non-foreign mothers were in fact of non-European immigrant origin. As the key areas reporting the biggest baby boom were London, West Midlands, Greater Manchester and West Yorkshire, i.e. areas of long-settled Afro-Caribbean and Asian immigrants, we can say without exaggeration that a good half of the new babies in Britain as a whole were not of the ethnicity I see in my extended family.

Note the *Torygraph*'s use of the word "enjoys" in its heading to the report. Was this just the work of a liberal-left NUJ sub-editor who puts in the headings? Or, more likely, was it part of the editorial policy to push Cameron's concept of conservatism? For us to "enjoy" the elimination of our North European stock goes with the Cameronite thinking that came up with this gem: "The prospect of eventual Turkish membership is hugely important... to demonstrate to the Muslim world that the EU is not an exclusive Christian club".

To return to the latest ONS population report, it states that on average, 'foreign' women have 2.5 children each, rising to 3.9 for those from Bangladesh and almost five from Pakistani women. "The number of babies born to British mothers is also rising, but lags far behind immigrants at an average of 1.7 children each." From this we can deduce that white British mothers are only producing 1.5 children at a maximum, against a required replacement level of 2.1.

In previous reports the ONS has predicted that the British population could reach 71 million by 2031, with migrants and their UK-born children accounting for 70 per cent of that growth.

The problem of the shrinking original British population is replicated all over Europe, including Russia, where the average reproduction rate is well below 2.1 at 1.5. The sole exception is Albania (the Moslems know how to breed). Fifty years from now, there will be 130 million fewer Europeans, while Afro-Asia's population is expected to double. The problem this poses is highlighted in the article elsewhere in this issue by Dr William Stanton *Our Future is Inescapably Malthusian*. Among some of his controversial answers he gives to the problems that must be recognised he recommends Britain's population should in the long

Tolkien  
modern



# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### » Party Plans to Stand Against MP

The *Politics Show* on BBC1 on Sunday (June 30<sup>th</sup>) featured the Labour MP Jamie Reed, clearly showing that he and the Labour Party have no answers whatsoever for the increasingly dire problems facing the people of Copeland.

A general election cannot come quick enough and when Gordon Brown finds the backbone or finds that the maximum time limit has expired forcing the election, we, the British National Party, intend to stand a very strong candidate against MP Reed. We have financial backers in Copeland who have already pledged serious financial support to run a top class election campaign but more to the point, rest assured that every one of the 200,000 leaflets we intend to use in a general election in Copeland will now contain the epic quote given to us straight from the horse's mouth by MP Reed on the *Politics Show*: "I don't know where to stop... But more to the point I don't, actually, know where we start".

The balance of power in Cumbria will not be decided on the percentage swing to the Conservatives; it will be decided by the entrance of the British National Party into the next general election.

Clive Jefferson  
Organiser, Copeland BNP

(This letter was published in the Whitehaven News. It was chosen by the editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity.)

### » Aid To India & Pakistan

Although I could get no reply from my local MP, Bill Cash, the Department for International Development informs me that for the year 2006 to 2007 the British Government gave £233 million of British tax-payers' money to India and a further £101 million to Pakistan. Over the next three years that will be increased to £825 million for India and £480 million for Pakistan.

Both these countries have developed nuclear weapons over the years while our money has been poured into their coffers. We pulled out of the space race forty odd years ago because we could no longer afford it, but India has now entered the space race by launching her own satellite with her own space rocket!

These are just two countries, never mind the rest of the bottomless pit into which our Government pours our money and at the same time borrows from the

International Monetary Fund. I can't help but wonder what life would be like here today if our own money had all been spent on our own country and our own people.

S. Sinclair  
Stoke-on-Trent

### » Guevara No Friend Of Rock & Roll



Whilst listening to my wireless the other night, a one hour show came onto Radio 2 paying tribute to the Socialist mass murderer Che Guevara. I was astonished at the way that he was portrayed as some sort of a saint. Despite the fact that he is

claimed to have killed over 500 people including famously a 14 year old boy. He signed the death warrant for hundreds more.

Various showbiz commies waxed lyrical about the coolness of Guevara. Many even commenting about how he was the political equivalent of a Rock & Roll star. If these left wing celebrities were the masters of their facts they would have known that Guevara was no lover of rock music at all. Fans of rock music were derogatorily referred to as "roqueros" and many were banished to camps for what he considered to be delinquent.

Paradoxically many Rock & Roll youth can be seen wearing apparel sporting Guevara's mugshot. I don't think that these fashion victims realise what they are actually wearing. To me it is akin to walking around in a T-shirt with the image of Peter Sutcliffe, Myra Hindley or Ian Huntley on.

Another point celebrated by the rich & famous lefties was how the image of Guevara was the most famous picture in the world. I think most people would disagree. In my opinion it is the one of the dogs playing snooker!

Trevor Agnew  
County Durham

### » NSPCC & The Grooming Scandal

Like Ralph Ellis (Readers' Letters, May 08) I too contacted the NSPCC regarding the "grooming" scandal in West and South Yorkshire of British born Pakistani men luring girls as young as 12 into a world of group sex and prostitution.

I first became aware of this from an article in *Voice of Freedom*, October 2005. As a result I then contacted the NSPCC London Head Office (0207 825 2500) and was told the person I needed to speak to was not available. He was not available either on the numerous mornings, afternoons or evenings that I subsequently phoned. Eventually he did speak to me but only to say he was unable to comment whilst a police investigation was in progress. He was adamant and would not be drawn no matter how I approached the subject.

Ann Cryer, who was MP for Keighley at the time, appeared on television to explain to viewers it was a "cultural problem". I was appalled. This made me even more determined to bring the matter

into the public arena. I phoned every television channel and radio station to complain about the lack of coverage and "selective" reporting.

Was anything ever done? How would we know? Sensitive matters such as these seem to get swept under the carpet and disappear without trace.

It is shocking, really shocking, as Mr Ellis said.

Carol Collett  
Rothley, Leicestershire

### Keep The Laws Of Treason



The *Daily Telegraph* reports that the ancient laws of treason could be scrapped under alleged plans to simplify the legal system. This news should not pass unnoticed.

It means that left-wing politicians, legislators and agitators who favour such a move could escape future prosecution and conviction for their crimes. It could therefore significantly assist their progressive dismantling and destruction of the British crown, state and way of life.

Marxism is alive and well in this country. It is the responsibility of all democratic and freedom-loving British patriots to recognise this, and to oppose it with all the diminishing means at our disposal. Tomorrow may be too late.

P. G. Willis  
Paignton, Devon

### Respect Our Heritage



I have an 11-year-old daughter who has gained a place at a local school. After some research I have some concerns and questions about the curriculum. I have forwarded these to the Rt Hon Ed Balls and am awaiting a response.

Since my older children were at school there have been some major changes to the curriculum. I find some of these changes concerning.

There is now a course called citizenship. Having looked at the syllabus for this course I have made an informed decision that I do

not wish to subject my daughter to this. I am assuming the Government is implying that I am not capable of making her into a good citizen; well actually I would probably not be capable of making her into the Government's view of a good citizen. I want her to learn the truth, the real truth, not the Government's lies that are being taught as truth.

My understanding is that it is also perfectly legal to withdraw my child from religious education as we are Christian. I want her to know that Christianity is the main religion in this country. I want her to be proud of what her forefathers and mothers (no sexism here) did for her and how the governments of the last 50 years have betrayed them and her.

I don't want to lie to her about Christianity's colourful past but I want her to know it has its good side too, something I think the Government is intent upon denying. It seems intent on teaching my daughter that she is 'second class, unimportant rubbish' and incapable of being a good citizen. Being proud of one's heritage is, it seems, tantamount to treason.

(This letter was also published in the Yorkshire Evening Post)

Sharon Knight  
Yeadon, Yorks

### Pensioners Fight Council Tax

I read with great interest the report of the 76-year-old pensioner jailed because he could no longer afford to pay his council tax (*Hope and Glory* July issue). Contrast this with the case of our local 22-year-old burglar given a suspended sentence, a free council house, and benefits for life.

It is time that our senior citizens got together to fight this injustice. Refuse to pay and go to jail. I am prepared to do this. Are there any more who are prepared to join me? If a number do it at the same time, it would have much more impact than one alone. Think about it.

(We understand your feelings David, but Identity cannot ask readers to break the law - Editor)

David Rimington  
26 Fairways Drive, Harrogate HG2 7ES

### BNP Our Last Hope

Congratulations to John Bean on his five years as Editor of *Identity*. It is without doubt the best magazine ever produced by the patriotic right.

Back in the early sixties I was a teenager when I met the young Bean on my doorstep. He was running for Parliament with his campaign of "Save Our Southall" as a candidate for the Mark 1 BNP. Fond memories JB of that lovely old English

town, now an Asian enclave.

Only a fool would fail to understand that Nick Griffin and the modern BNP are the last hope for this country.

(I am sorry that I was unable to save Southall. I believe I was the second person in Britain to paint "Keep Britain White" on a wall. That wasn't very successful either. But we keep trying - Editor)

W. Norton  
Hertfordshire

### Growing Black Power In East London



How can the UK be run fairly and economically when all around us we see an ever growing number of Africans and Asians in various positions of power?

Any readers been to the University of East London lately? MP David Lammy must be on a good retainer to secure permanent jobs for all those Nigerians and fellow Blacks who now run the whole University!

Have you checked your local hospitals lately? In most London areas the management is getting in more and more Blacks and Asians for non-specialist jobs while London is littered with white homeless people, with no jobs and no chance that they will ever get a normal life again.

Margaret Cooper  
e-mail

### The Media Machine

I thought Paul Golding's report on *The Rise of the Media Machine* was spot on. The Government in collusion with the media have conspired against the British people for decades. As Paul rightly pointed out, the majority of the press is owned by foreign globalist companies who will never give the BNP a fair hearing because our Nationalist agenda will always put us on a collision course with Globalisation.

It is also refreshing to see old hands like Paul back with articles that new BNP members must take note of: the media champion multiculturalism, liberalism, homosexuality and have generally undermined our society's core values. They are the most dangerous enemy within (especially the BBC) and a much bigger foe than the LibLabCon could ever hope to be.

Chris Roberts  
Benfleet, Essex

# Nationalism in A Stock Take

» Paul Golding looks at the problems a British Nationalist leader has to overcome today, particularly those that have traditionally come from the so-called 'hard-liners'.

The leader of the British National Party has an almost impossible job, one that has no equal or equivalent in politics. He has to ward off threats and subversion from the Establishment and the Left, whilst internally he has to keep the peace and maintain a delicate equilibrium between the traditional factions of wishy-washy Tory patriots and the phoney, uncompromising self-styled 'hard-liners'. Each of these factions is always at the ready to grab power for themselves should the leader lower his guard or face a crisis.

Submerged within this explosive and unstable quagmire, the leader has to build, virtually from nothing, a nationwide mass movement capable of uprooting a deeply entrenched, devious and corrupt Establishment in the face of innumerable setbacks and reversals, all the while under immensely heavy artillery bombardment from the mass media!

Even better, this leader must try to recruit, educate and organise a population that has been utterly robbed of general knowledge, of politics, of history, and has been relentlessly brainwashed with the most precise and deadly accurate techniques of mind control into an irrational hostility to patriotic politics and healthy normal values. To sum up, the willing applicant must successfully complete this momentous task within a short time scale, else the consequences are that his people, his family, his country, all that he loves, will suffer horribly in a bloodthirsty civil war and certain national and racial oblivion.

When taking into account the circumstances, the chances of success and the consequences of failure, the prospective leader has a proverbial Mount Everest to climb, with both hands tied behind his back. But it is not all gloom and doom. In many ways it seems as if the present BNP, its members and its leadership, are giving every indication that they are equal to the task. Nationalism in Britain has traditionally lagged behind similar movements on the Continent. The reason for this is that in Britain the faction mentioned earlier, the phoney self-styled hard-liners, have always been dominant and held the reins.

This faction has always exhibited familiar features. They show a lack of understanding of the difference between *polices* and *principles*, seeing the two as the same thing, and thus are locked into an uncompromising rigidity when faced with shifting external circumstances and trends. There is a sort of general determination to remain "ideologically pure" and to view every attempt at a redressing of the way the party is *advertised* or *marketed* as a "sell-out," even though the original principles of the movement remain intact and untouched. They also exhibit a complete lack of understanding of modern political methods and have a stubborn tendency to look backwards rather than to the future, completely underestimating the power of the media. Thus they generally pay little or no attention to things that harm or hinder the party's image. In fact most hard-liners think they are doing their country a great service by puffing out their chests and letting rip with whatever damaging verbiage they have on the tip of their tongues, thus falling willingly into the media's trap.

## IMAGE DOES MATTER

One of these same hard-liners once said to me, "The media will *always* attack us, so our image doesn't matter." Using the scenario of war, this is the same as saying, "The enemy will *always* shoot at us, so it doesn't matter how much ammunition we give them!" As we can see, this argument - a favourite of the hard-liners to justify their obsession with WWII, the Jews and Adolf Hitler - is completely flawed. The phrase "but it's the truth" just doesn't cut it. Such a stance takes no account of the peculiar circumstances we face. We are up against an immense media machine, which uses anything surrounding the Second World War, Nazism or Hitler to demonise and thus effectively immobilise any patriotic

# Britain:

movement in the modern age.

Nationalists today have to be very clever. We have to learn to bite our tongues and use our brains to side step traditional Establishment attacks. We must not charge full steam ahead into well prepared media traps, giving our opponents what they want, time and time again, thus scuppering our chances of success.

The Establishment and the media absolutely love and adore these rigid, self-destructive, hard-liners. Without them, the Establishment would be without the huge amount of ammunition they have traditionally wielded against patriotic movements. Not playing ball with the Establishment is really quite simple. We must completely and skilfully avoid any resemblance whatsoever to all the labels and connections given to us by the media: namely that we are 'Nazis', 'Fascists', 'racists', 'extremists', 'anti-Semitic', and so on. This is not hard, since we are not these things anyway. But some people love to engage in moronic activities that give the media the ammunition they use to discredit all of us, and the Cause. This is what the overly proud, false morons of the true "far-right" don't understand: they help our nation's enemies to enslave our people, while at the same time destroying the chances of success for real and genuine nationalists. They do this by utilising demonised language and behaviour, falling easy prey to media snipers.

## THE NATIONALIST REVOLUTION

In 1999 a virtual revolution within British nationalism occurred, and with a new captain the nationalist ship charted a different course. Bidding goodbye to the failed, rigid, 'hard line' nationalist



politics of the past forty years, the membership voted overwhelmingly to adopt the successful and mainstream nationalist politics of our sister movements in France and elsewhere, generally known and labelled as nationalism of the 'modernisers'. Within a decade, our Movement has been transformed from an irrelevant, insignificant tiny party on the fringe of politics into a force to be reckoned with. We now have over 100 councillors nationwide, the most visited website in politics, a representative on the London Assembly, and widespread positive recognition of the Party, with all the old labels rapidly falling away.

Nationalist parties in recent British history, besides the BNP, have never won a single seat anywhere, at any level, despite the enormous size of some of them, such as the National Front during the 1970s. This speaks volumes for the new approach adopted post-1999, and casts ominous shadows on the past failures of the hard-liners. This brings us to the question of the BNP's future, and as with so many other things in life, the answer lies between the two extremes. As mentioned earlier, the BNP is not subject to the typical pressures facing 'normal' Establishment parties, but is constantly harassed and subverted by all means possible, and thus requires a greater centralisation of authority than most.

## GROUNDLESS SMEAR

This has nothing to do with 'dictatorship'. We patriots should know only too well that this particular smear, a favourite of the Left, is groundless. The present BNP Constitution is simply a recognition of the hostile environment in which the BNP dwells. Committee style decision making is basically not suited to nationalist politics, with the always present tendency to infighting and disagreements and the nefarious activities of our opponents, which have plagued our Cause for decades. That approach has been tried before, and *always* ended in failure. The BNP has survived longer than any other patriotic party precisely because of this fact, and will continue to survive the calamities of the future as long as the principle of "authority and responsibility" continue to shape our Constitution.

By "authority and responsibility" we mean that when an individual is invested with *authority*, it walks hand in hand with *responsibility*. For example, our leader has been given authority over the party, but this means that he bears the responsibility for the party, for good or bad. The problem that would arise with the adoption of committee style decision making, is that it puts

authority and power in the hands of people who bear no responsibility for the decisions they make, which is one of the major problems facing the Westminster political system.

There are those who disagree with this analysis, arguing that what is needed at this stage of the BNP's development is more 'democracy' (i.e. more committees), but as stated above this simply means taking authority away from the party leader, who is *responsible to the members* for the fortunes of the party, and dispersing it amongst those who bear no responsibility. Power and authority, as provided by the present BNP Constitution, strikes a balance between what is termed 'dictatorship' and the past and failed committee-style yearnings of those who are disgruntled at the present state of affairs. Under the present system, leadership is provided by the party Chairman, who bears ultimate responsibility for the party, while policy is shaped by the activists that build the party, the Voting Members.

#### SOLID FOUNDATION

This system is tried and tested and works. It has provided the BNP with a solid foundation for continued progress, and now we are entering the mainstream landscape of British politics. Smothering our party with committees would be a sure-fire way of ending up like the National Front at the end of the 1970s.

How can the party be labelled a dictatorship when, under our present Constitution any member of a certain standing can challenge for the leadership of the party? The bottom line where leadership challenges are concerned is the *support of the members*, and this is often forgotten. In 1999 a prospective leadership candidate stood against the incumbent Chairman and won by a large majority. Years later the new Chairman faced a leadership challenge from another party member and the challenger lost by a considerable amount. In both elections the party held firm and the members saw their choice of leader confirmed in authority and in bearing the responsibility for party fortunes. This is internal democracy in action, something of which we can be proud, and it smashes the claim that the BNP is a dictatorship.

The very fact that the BNP is still intact, after 26 years of struggle which have seen internal upheavals and coup attempts, leadership challenges and petty factionalism, infiltration and subversion, is proof enough that the party sits on firm foundations. The constitutional principles on which the party was founded in 1982 (principally revolving around a centralisation of authority in those who bear the ultimate responsibility for the party) are sound and need no amending, even though the details do need some updating. There is plenty of scope for "change" within the party, as long as it receives a mandate from the membership.

The British National Party is without doubt the party of the future. But this position depends on maintaining the concrete foundations which allowed it to surge forward, despite all the odds, to its present promising position. As the saying goes, "If it's not broken, don't fix it!"

# The Elephant in Terry's Dra

**I**t has almost been forgotten since sporting events took centre stage in European entertainment, but a while ago, a pan-European competition of a different kind took place in Russia. This competition was one that we in the British Isles tend to regard rather lightly; it was the Eurovision Song Contest.

We are right not to take the contest seriously: most of the acts would not win a pub karaoke competition and the voting has always come under scrutiny. It was no surprise when Russia won (the East European countries were long bound by the Warsaw Pact); its national Olympic ice-skating champion provided the dancing, and the competition was held on home turf in Moscow. And what did they have to beat exactly? A puppet turkey was sent from Ireland; the Israelis added to the myth that Jews can't dance; and the usual assortment of cross-dressers, extroverts and weirdos sang *I Will Survive*. Or it was something like that. I may be getting mixed up with any number of British cities on a Friday night. But no, I certainly wasn't surprised by Russia's win.

And then came the furore. The unthinkable had happened. Britain had come last. Terry Wogan exclaimed that there was block voting going on, which amazed us all. Then he said he might pack it all in, which really did astound. Did this mean there would be a reduction in his wage bill? Coincidentally, his wage bill was revealed shortly afterwards. Even more coincidentally, Wogan then made an even more amazing claim. "There was an elephant in the room," he said. "No one east of the Danube will be voting for a black contestant anytime soon." Everyone in the media gave the usual Pavlovian applause of trained seals and Wogan's poor back became red raw with all the slapping. And so his £800,000 a year contract was justified in a single comment.


But was he right? After all, the German contestant was also black and came runner-up for the wooden spoon. On the face of it then, there must be something to it. I've heard Andy Abraham's song; it's the usual bland Afro-American brand of pop I believe they're calling R & B these days. I've heard worse. So should it not have done better?

#### NON-EUROPEAN MUSIC

The answer is no, it shouldn't - and it isn't because the artist is black either. It is, though, because the music is Afro-American. The competition, let us remind ourselves, is called the EUROvision Song Contest. It is a contest for European music, not American, nor African. I'm sure they have their own contests. But

# nt in wing Room

» David Foster gives some observations on this year's Eurovision Song Contest, which has now degenerated into a complete farce.



what I expect to hear at the Eurovision Song Contest is pop music, however terrible, that is derived from the indigenous folk music of the various nations of Europe. From Austria and Germany I expect *Schlagermusik*, from the Iberian peninsula some ballad with a Spanish guitar and from Britain, Sir Cliff. From Russia I expect something Slavic with a flavour of the East - as do the Russians themselves. Russian pop is not to my taste; I suppose I am more rooted in the West European tradition, as I suppose the East Europeans are rooted in theirs. And there lies the nub of it. Did the people of the East European countries not merely vote for the best song that catered for their palate? And perhaps the real reason they didn't vote for Andy Abraham was that it was furthest removed from the sounds they are accustomed to, the sounds of their own musical culture.

So what does Mr Wogan expect: that the East Europeans adopt Afro-American culture as we have been socially engineered into doing? I suspect the peoples of Eastern Europe are proud of and happy with their own similar yet diverse cultures - just as we once were. But no, the only real culture is black culture, so the liberals would have us believe, and Eastern Europe must follow suit in adopting it. You see, for the liberals, this is diversity: for the whole of Europe uniformly to adopt liberal ideas and embrace African culture, sacrificing their own. So perhaps theirs was a vote for their own culture, or perhaps it was a vote against having an alien culture rammed down their throats.

This whole episode has left me with a few questions. Did Mr Wogan think about any of these things? If he did, which weighed most heavily: his conscience or the £800,000 a year from his liberal paymasters? Will he be shouting for diversity the next time the MOBOS are on?

Indeed, was the elephant in the room not Andy Abraham, but Terry Wogan himself? He used to mock the contest in a gentle tongue-in-cheek manner, which is as it should be, but now it seems he genuinely despises it. It's very similar to the case of Terry Jones, the member of Monty Python who once sent up our traditions, institutions and way of life, but now writes serious articles for *The Guardian* in which he pours out a barrage of hate for British culture.

It's a shame about Wogan really; everyone else seems to take it for what it is: a piece of meaningless fun. But that's the trouble with liberals: they don't half love to spoil a bit of fun. Yes, this has left me with a bitter taste in my mouth - and a strange longing for the return of Sir Cliff!

Dear Mr Hari,

I read your recent article online. I am an Englishman living in China and have been here for years. I am not a member of the BNP nor have I taken part in UK politics in any way, but I think you are guilty of some (unintentionally? intentionally?) misleading figures. You state as "fact" that immigrants pay £6bn more in than they take out. I don't suppose you bother to read the website of Migrationwatch who will have their own take on these "figures." I want to make these points:

**1)** Have you disaggregated migrants from European cultures who are likely to integrate better and migrants from the Third World? I know you haven't and can't. A German working in a German bank in London may indeed be paying a lot of tax. The Afghans who commandeered a plane to land in England are unlikely to be in the same position.

**2)** Have you allowed for the younger age profile of migrants and the fact that they will grow old? They are not claiming their pensions... yet. Once again, a factor you, conveniently, left out.

**3)** Have you broken down the figures for the native British population and the immigrant-descended population (regardless of whether they hold British passports or not)? Once again, I know you haven't and can't, as the figures don't exist on that basis. As you know, migrants can get British passports two years after arrival. Actually I have been in China longer than that, and no, it is not possible to get Chinese citizenship (although admittedly it is not currently valuable, although it might be in the future). Giving a passport to someone from Pakistan, Egypt or Bangladesh two years after arrival in the expectation that the said person will vote Labour doesn't make him truly British, and arguably the ethnic minorities who have been in the UK three generations are not truly British either, not in terms of culture, identity and loyalty. So, are you including Bangladeshis born in the UK holding UK passports under the "immigrant" column or

under the "British" column? Clearly, your figures are nonsense. Half of Tower Hamlets is full of immigrant-descended populations on benefits, many of whom hold British passports.

**4)** Have you allowed for greater spending on crime, the racket known as "anti-racism" (actually the promotion of the minorities' own racial interests) and the prison service? And when you retort that the police chiefs claim that immigrants offend at average rates, have you once again broken down the figures as I outlined in point three above? Negro immigrants in London may offend at similar rates to Negroes born in London holding UK passports, but clearly to compile the figures on that basis is nonsensical.

**5)** Have you allowed for the fact that many immigrants or immigrant-descended people hold jobs that would otherwise be done by British people? Merely holding a job is not "contributing"; it is in fact "taking". There are many Asians working in the City who are job-blocking - holding high-paid jobs that should and could be done by British people. China does not permit foreigners to just come in and take any job and claim to be contributing. If you can't show that you have special skills that a native does not have, you will not be able to walk into China's elite positions.

The fact that you will ignore this letter just shows how mendacious your opinions are. You support immigration because you support the Cultural Revolution. To adduce any pecuniary benefit to this country is to ignore recent evidence from the House of Lords and the work of the labour economist (and immigrant) George Borjas in the US (yes, I know you have never heard of him - that's my point!). Whether you will one day regret these views of yours is another matter. At least Pim Fortuyn was honest and brave enough to come out against multiculturalism. Your views, by contrast, are slap, bang in the middle of the box.

Regards,  
David Webb

# The Myth of the £6 billion Bonus

» The *Independent* recently carried an article by Johann Hari in which - apart from accusing the BNP of virtually every crime imaginable - he repeated the myth that immigrants pay £6bn more in taxes than they take out. This further exposé of the £6bn myth was in an e-mail sent to Mr Hari by David Webb.



**£11 inc p&p**

*Red, White and Blue 2007 Live* is now available for just £11 inc p&p. This live recording from the Red, White and Blue contains 14 tracks from popular nationalist musicians and speeches from Nick Griffin and Mark Collett

Please make cheques payable to 'Great White Records': PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 9WW or order online!



[www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com)



**£11 inc p&p**

*West Wind* is the best selling album from Great White Records. It contains 11 tracks written by Nick Griffin and arranged and performed by a variety of nationalist artists. This album really is a must have in anyone's collection.

You don't have to send a cheque; you can also order both of these albums by telephone on our new hotline 0871 050 0242 or order online!

**Donate By Credit or Debit Card - Call 0871 050 0234**



**Help Build Our Alternative Media**

The BNP is leading the way with its new live online BNPTv broadcasts. On Sunday July 28th the channel took a huge leap forward with a speech from Party Chairman Nick Griffin which was broadcast live from a meeting in Cornwall.

We are working toward launching a BNPTv channel running 24 hours a day with news, views, commentary and live speeches from party officers. Help us build this exciting new experience - help us bypass the liberal left media and take our message straight to the masses.

Yes, I want to help the BNPTv with its groundbreaking new internet TV channel and would like to donate toward the cost of new equipment to keep us ahead of the pack:

£10

£25

£1000

£50

£100

Other: .....

Name: .....

Address: .....

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 142, Leeds, LS8 9DR

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50  £35

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20  £46.40

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90  £53.70

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90  £53.70

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to: PO Box 17, Amlwch, LL68 8AB. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;  
80 - £110; 100 - £135

**Postage** - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;  
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100